Revised Thermodynamic Description for the Co-Mo System

The previously assessed Co-Mo system [1999Dav] has been partially revised: thermodynamic descriptions for the liquid, μ , σ , and bcc phases were amended in the attempt to eliminate some unrealistic phase diagram features, such as an inverse liquid miscibility gap and an extended metastable homogeneity range for the σ -phase.

The previous thermodynamic assessment of the Co-Mo system by the present authors adequately reproduces the evaluated phase diagram and thermochemical data for all the phases [1999Dav]. However, the original description resulted in the formation of an implausible inverse miscibility gap in the liquid at high temperatures [2001Che]. The presence of an inverted miscibility gap was not noticed during the assessment since the minimum miscibility temperature of 3022 K was above the melting points of all the solid phases in the system and thus did not appear on the diagram calculated in the 300-3000 K temperature range. Although posing no problem for the Co-Mo binary description, the liquid immiscibility could potentially manifest itself in the higher-order systems with high-melting components. In addition to that, thorough examination of various metastable equilibria revealed that in the absence of μ and ε intermetallics, the σ phase homogeneity range extended unrealistically far into the Co-rich corner of the phase diagram. Also, the ordering on the sublattices in the µ-phase models appeared to have questionable temperature behavior (the degree of ordering was slightly increasing with temperature).

This prompted the authors to amend the description of the aforementioned phases as summarized in Table 1. Recalculated thermochemical quantities of the intermetallic compounds are presented in Table 2. Note that Tables 1 and 2, including labeling of all the phases, model parameters, equations, and references, correspond to Tables 4 and 5 in [1999Dav], respectively. The phase diagram calculated using the modified descriptions is given in Fig. 1 with symbols, legends, and references corresponding to those from Fig. 1 in [1999Dav].

The phase diagram appears essentially unchanged, with the invariant temperatures deviating from the previous assessment by a maximum of four degrees for the liquid + $\sigma \leftrightarrow \mu$ peritectic, which is still well within experimental uncertainty. At the same



Fig. 1 Experimental and calculated phase diagram for the Co-Mo system: α , ferro-cph (Co); $\alpha'(\theta)$, para-cph (Co); β , ferro-fcc (Co); β' , para-fcc (Co); δ , bcc (Mo); ε , μ , and σ , intermetallic compounds; Model II (σ phase) and Model V (μ phase) are used for calculations; symbols and legends are from [1999Dav].

Phase	Model (Eq. No.) (a)	Parameter	a _i	b _i	c _i
Liquid	Redlich-Kister (Eq 5)	$^{0}L_{\rm Co,Mo}$	-68 756.05	31.80	0.2
		${}^{1}L_{Co,Mo}$	2 184.77	1.50	
cph α	Redlich-Kister (Eq 5, 11, 12)	${}^{0}L_{\rm Co,Mo}$	-29 315.7	23.755	
		${}^{1}L_{\text{Co.Mo}}$	-27 975.9	-1.936	
		$^{2}L_{Co,Mo}$	24 698.3	0.000	
		${}^{0}T_{Co}, {}^{0}\beta_{Co}$	1 396	1.350	
		${}^{0}T_{Co,Mo}, {}^{0}\beta_{Co,Mo}$	-1 000	0.000	
fcc B	Redlich-Kister (Eq 5, 11, 12)	${}^{0}L_{Co,Mo}$	-29 557.1	10.953	
		${}^{1}L_{Co,Mo}$	-1 382.2	15.371	
		${}^{2}L_{CoMo}$	-18 135.4	0.000	
		${}^{0}T_{C0}, {}^{0}\beta_{C0}$	1 396	1.350	
		${}^{0}T_{C0}M_{0}$, ${}^{0}\beta_{C0}M_{0}$	-3 700	-3.578	
bcc d	Redlich-Kister (Eq 5, 11, 12)	${}^{0}L_{Co,Mo}$	5 000	7.597	
		${}^{1}L_{CoMo}$	-19 208.67	0.000	
		${}^{0}T_{C2}, {}^{0}\beta_{C2}$	1 450	1.350	
		${}^{0}T_{Co}Moi {}^{0}\beta_{Co}Mo$	-3 700	-3.445	
ε	line compound (Eq 13)	$\Delta^{\rm f} G$	-47 009.6	20.816	
σ	$(Co)_{\circ}(Mo)_{4}(Co,Mo)_{1}_{\circ}$ (Model I. Eq 14)	$\Delta^{\rm f} G_{\rm CruMruCr}$	-130 932.67	240.554	
		$\Delta^{\rm f} G_{\rm Control Mathematical}$	-87 868.24	14.662	
			-157 492.37	0	
σ	$(Co)_{10}(Mo)_4(Co,Mo)_{14}$ (Model II, Eq 14)	$\Delta^{\rm f} G_{\rm G}$ M G	-287 355.10	295.453	
-		$\Delta^{\rm f} G_{\rm COMO:CO}$	-114 357.37	-2.477	
			134 706.57	0	
п	(Co Mo)-(Co Mo), (Model III, Eq. 14)	$\Lambda^{f}G_{}$	-105 406 7	19 784	
μ		$\Delta^{f}G_{-}$	65 000 0	0.000	
		$\Delta^{\rm f}G_{\rm M}$	65 000 0	0.000	
		$\Delta^{f}G_{MO:MO}$	235 406 7	-19 784	
			-56 408.1	159,000	
		^D Co,Mo:Mo ⁰ I	-56 408 1	159,000	
		^L Co,Mo:Co ⁰ I	-286 332 5	259.000	
		^L Co:Co,Mo ⁰ I	_286 332 5	259.000	
	(Co Mo) (Mo) (Co Mo) (Model IV, Eq. 14)	$L_{Mo:Co,Mo}$ $\Lambda^{f}G$	-105 406 7	10 784	
μ	$(0,0)_{1}(0,0)_{2}(0,0)_{4}(0,0)_{4}(0,0)_{1}($	$\Delta^{f}G$	-64 478 6	49.936	
		$\Delta^{\rm f}G$	65 000 0	0.000	
		$\Delta O_{Mo:Mo:Mo}$ $\Lambda^{f}G$	105 928 1	30.152	
		$\Delta O_{Mo:Mo:Co}$	-59 132 6	161 000	
		^L Co,Mo:Mo:Mo ⁰ I	-59 132.6	161.000	
		^L Co,Mo:Mo:Co ⁰ I	-117 002 4	115 000	
		^D Co:Mo:Co,Mo		115.000	
	(C_0) $(C_0 M_0)$ (M_0) $(C_0 M_0)$ $(M_0 del V Eq 14)$	$L_{Mo:Mo:Co,Mo}$ $\Lambda^{f}G$	-105 406 7	10 784	
μ	$(CO)_6(CO,MO)_1(MO)_4(COMO)_2$ (Model V, Eq. 14)	$\Delta O_{Co:Co:Mo:Mo}$ $\Lambda^{f}C$	-130 245 8	57.602	
		$\Delta G_{Co:Co:Mo:Co}$	-87 000 0	15 000	
		$\Delta O_{Co:Mo:Mo:Mo}$ $\Lambda^{f}G$	_111 830 1	52.818	
		$\Delta U_{Co:Mo:Mo:Co}$	-111 0.59.1 _1 262 0	10 000	
		^L Co:Co,Mo:Mo:Co ⁰ I	-4 203.0	10.000	
		^L Co:Co,Mo:Mo:Mo ⁰ I	-4 203.0	20.000	
		LCo:Co:Mo:Co,Mo 0I	-0 141.0 _0 1/1 0	20.000	
		L _{Co:Mo:Mo:Co,Mo}	-0 141.0	20.000	

Tuble I model I utumeters for the composition (ne optimized I utumeters fire orten in Dola)

(a) Models and equations are from [1999Dav]; the a_i and b_i coefficients for the G and L parameters are in J/mol and J/mol K, respectively; T_c in K, and β in Bohr magnetons.

time, the descriptions for the liquid, σ , and μ models were improved. Even though it was not possible to eliminate the appearance of the inverse liquid miscibility gap at high temperatures, its critical temperature was "pushed up" to 3965 K compared with 3022 K in [1999Dav]. The calculated metastable phase boundaries of the σ phase for the $\sigma + \beta/\beta'$ and

 $\sigma + \alpha/\alpha'$ equilibria became more realistic for both models* I and II and

*The details of the models may be found in the original publication [1999Dav].

Phase	x, at.% Mo	T _{melt.} , K	$\Delta_{\rm f} H^{298},$ J/mol at	Δ _P S ²⁹⁸ , J/mol at K	Δ _f H ²⁹⁸ [1980Bre] J/mol at	Δ _f S ²⁹⁸ [1980Bre] J/mol at K
e	25	1320(a)	-5360	0.1	-5300 ± 1200	-1.3 ± 0.8
μ	48	1787	-3434	2.5	-3800 ± 400	1.8 ± 0.8
σ	63	1892	-711	2.1	-1900 ± 2500	3.3 ± 1.2
(a) peritecto	oid decomposition					

Table 2 Calculated Thermodynamic Properties of the Intermetallic Compounds (Calculated Quantities ThatDiffer From [1999Dav] Are Given in Bold)

had a tendency to approach the "ideal" stoichiometric composition of 66.7 at.% Mo with decreasing temperature. Ordering on the sublattices in the μ phase for all three models, III, IV and V, has a more realistic temperature trend as it slightly decreases with increasing temperature. It should be noted, however, that no experimental data for the site occupancies in the μ phase exist in the literature to validate the temperature dependence of the ordering behavior produced by the present modeling.

In conclusion, the authors recommend replacing the Co-Mo description in [1999Dav] with the present one. The revised description should be used with caution for calculations at temperatures above approximately 3700 K, especially in multicomponent systems, to avoid appearance of an inverse liquid miscibility gap.

The authors wish to thank Dr. N. Dupin, Calcul Thermodynamique, Orcet, France, for pointing out the deficiencies in the μ and σ phase descriptions and for helpful discussions on the revisions.

Cited References

1913Ray: U. Raydt and G. Tammann: "Über Mo-Co-Legierungen," Z. Anorg. Chem., 1913, 83, pp. 246-52.

- **1928Tak:** T. Takei: "The Diagram of the Cobalt-Molybdenum System," *Kinzoku-No-Kenkyu*, 1928, *5*, pp. 364-84.
- **1932Kos:** W. Koster and W. Tonn: "Die Zweistoffsysteme Kobalt-Wolfram und Kobalt-Molybdän," Z. Metallkde., 1932, 24, pp. 296-99.
- 1935Syk: W.P. Sykes and H.F. Graf: "The Cobalt-Molybdenum System," *Trans. ASM*, 1935, 23, pp. 249-85.
- **1953Met:** A. Metcalfe: "Thermal and Dilatometric Investigation of the Alloys of Cobalt With Chromium and Molybdenum," *Trans. AIME*, 1953, *197*, pp. 357-64.
- **1963Qui:** T.J. Quinn and W. Hume-Rothery: "The Equilibrium Diagram of the System Molybdenum-Cobalt," *J. Less-Common Met.*, 1963, *5*, pp. 314-24.
- **1970Kra:** W. Krajewski, J. Kruger, and H. Winterhager: "Allotropic Transformation and Thermal Expansion of Cobalt Binary Alloys Between 100 and 800 °C," *Cobalt*, 1970, 48, pp. 120-28.
- **1974Hei:** C.P. Heijwegen and G.D. Rieck: "Determination of the Phase Diagram of the MoCo System Using Diffusion Couples," *J. Less-Common Met.*, 1974, *34*, pp. 309-14.
- **1975Gus:** W. Gust, B. Predel, and S.N. Mehra: "Die Kinetik der Feinlamellaren Diskontinuierlichen Ausscheidung in Co-Mo-Mischkristallen," *Mater. Sci. Eng.*, 1975, *21*, pp. 131-38.
- **1975Kat:** I. Katayama, M. Aoki, and Z. Kozuka: "Thermodynamic Study of Solid Co-Mo Alloys by E.M.F. Measurements Using the Solid Electrolyte,"

Nippn Kinzoku Gak-kai-Shi, 1975, 39, pp. 1210-14.

- **1980Bre:** L. Brewer, R.H. Lamoreaux, R. Ferro, R. Marazza, and K. Girgis: *Molybdenum: Physico-Chemical Properties of Its Compounds and Alloys. Atomic Energy Review*, International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, 1980, Special Issue No. 7, pp. 123-27, 231-34.
- **1981Tak:** T. Takayama, M.Y. Wey, and T. Nishizawa: "Effect of Magnetic Transition on the Solubility of Alloying Elements in BCC Iron and FCC Cobalt," *Trans. J. Inst. Met.*, 1981, 22, pp. 315-25.
- **1999Dav:** A.V. Davydov and U.R. Kattner: "Thermodynamic Assessment of the Co-Mo System," *J. Phase Equilibria*, 1999, 20, pp. 5-16.
- 2001Che: S.L. Chen, S. Daniel, F. Zhang, Y.A. Chang, W.A. Oates, and R. Schmid-Fetzer: "On the Calculation of Multicomponent Stable Phase Diagrams," *J. Phase Equilibria*, 2001, 22, pp. 373-78.

Above remarks by:

A.V. Davydov and U.R. Kattner

Metallurgy Division National Institute of Standards and Technology 100 Bureau Drive Stop 8555 Gaithersburg, MD 20899